United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,192	03/11/2004	Kao-Cheng Hsieh		4738	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 07/03/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRCH	1	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
FALLS ÇHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/797,192	HSIEH, KAO-CHENG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin M. Nguyen	2629	

	Kevin M. Nguyen	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aftotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the subject of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	•	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	ensideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	ecteu ciaims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amondments are not in compliance with 27 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timely filed emendmy	ent concoling the			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	<u> </u>	Il be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:		•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-6</u> .	•		•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an		, ,				
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·	, , ,	•			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on or the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attack	160.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	lered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance			
because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	-) //	. /			
13.		Kevin M. Nguyen	1/94/			
•						
		Examiner Art Unit: 2629				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Shafer clearly claims "a unique leader pulse sequence, followed by reference time data representing a predetermined time difference" see claim 1 or col. 6, lines 3-4. Furthermore, figure 5 of Shafer clearly discloses two different leader pulses (e.g. the first leader pulse identifies the key signal "KEY HI 4", and the second leader (82) identifies the trackball signal "TBALL X HI 4"), which imply different signals.